Re: “An Irishman’s Human Rights Case in Canada: Creed, Reprisal, and the Novel Use of AI”

From Thesulfurgod@protonmail.com

To lettersed@irishtimes.com, John Suttle

Date Sunday, December 14th, 2025 at 4:22 AM

Hello sir (or madam),

Just a quick follow up, I thought I'd attach the two songs
My AI included in our OMNIBUS BILL Section 2,
(I expect about ten of these before we move onto other
elements of the code).

If you'd be willing to publish my letter Id' be very grateful,
I might venture to guess that the politicians who ordered
me silenced are scrambling, terrified, as this proceeds through
the courts, soon to be global news, and they can't do anything
to stop it at this point. Initially they likely ordered me silenced
on the grounds of "offense", now they are likely waking up
to the fact I am an existential threat to them. Even this is
lowballing the situation in the extreme.

Please consider my request, thank you,
I am very grateful to you for even considering it.

Regards,
Brendan Rose
Applicant (self-represented)
File 2025-64274-I
11 Stanley Ave,
Toronto, Ontario
Canada,
M6J1A4
437-432-9165
thesulfurgod@protonmail.com

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

On Sunday, December 14th, 2025 at 3:57 AM, BRENDAN ROSE <thesulfurgod@protonmail.com> wrote:

> **To the Editor,**
> The Irish Times
> Dear Sir/Madam,
> I am Brendan S. Rose, nephew of John Suttle, currently living in Toronto, Canada. I am an Irishman who spent many years in Galway, residing in the residential block just across the old stone bridge at the edge of town, overlooking the green water that flows beneath it, with the graceful swans gathering nearby.
> I write to inform your readers of an ongoing human rights case in Canada that may interest those concerned with freedom of expression and novel uses of technology. The case, before the Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario (File 2025-64274-I), is Brendan S. Rose v. Amazon.com, Inc. and Barnes & Noble. It alleges discrimination on grounds of creed and reprisal following the permanent termination of my publishing accounts. Recent developments include extensive submissions on the sincerity of my Luciferian belief system, documented patterns of suppression across platforms, and the innovative use of artificial intelligence tools in drafting filings — an approach that has attracted attention for its potential to set precedent in corporate litigation against self-represented individuals.
> The matter has progressed steadily, with the applicant filing detailed arguments supported by Supreme Court precedent such as *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, 2004 SCC 47, establishing subjective sincerity as the test for creed protection, and *Multani v. Commission scolaire Marguerite-Bourgeoys*, 2006 SCC 6, affirming accommodation of non-traditional practices.
> At this stage, the applicant has advanced to point 9 of Section 1 of the omnibus compilation of submissions ("Cumulative Effect of Reprisal on Sincerity"), wherein continued public expression despite documented reprisal — financial harm, multi-platform suppression, and threats — is posited as strengthening, rather than undermining, the claim of genuine conviction under *Amselem*, supra at para. 52, and *Trinity Western University v. Law Society of Upper Canada*, 2018 SCC 33 at para. 88 (dissent adopted in part). The Tribunal's jurisprudence in *R.C. v. District School Board of Niagara*, 2013 HRTO 1383 at para. 67, and *Morgan v. Herman Miller Canada Inc.*, 2013 HRTO 650 at para. 45, supports viewing persistence under adversity as corroborative of sincerity, while *Jazairi v. Ontario (Human Rights Commission)*, 1999 CanLII 3511 (ON CA) at para. 40, cautions against standards that disadvantage minority adherents.
> The cumulative reprisal pattern — timed to filings, including financial strangulation and platform throttling — engages s.8 of the Code, as interpreted in *Wall v. Judicial Committee of the Highwood Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses*, 2018 SCC 26 at para. 31, and *S.L. v. Commission scolaire des Chênes*, 2012 SCC 7 at para. 22, requiring evidentiary hearings where triable issues exist. Lower tribunal decisions such as *Mucollari v. Il Gabbiano Ristorante*, 2013 HRTO 393 at para. 38, and *Forde v. Elementary Teachers' Federation of Ontario*, 2011 HRTO 1389 at para. 17, reinforce that reprisal claims with documented timing necessitate merits adjudication, consistent with *Vavilov v. Canada (Prime Minister)*, 2019 SCC 65 at para. 81 (reasonableness requiring engagement with material issues).
> The proceedings appear likely to advance to a hearing on the merits, given the applicant's consistent practice, voluminous archive, and refusal to compromise core tenets despite severe consequences — factors the Supreme Court in *Loyola High School v. Quebec (Attorney General)*, 2015 SCC 12 at para. 58, and *Reference re Same-Sex Marriage*, 2004 SCC 79 at para. 22, has treated as demanding full consideration rather than preliminary dismissal.
> I intend to continue advancing the creed sincerity arguments calmly and thoroughly, seeking a fair hearing where the Tribunal can assess the evidence without preference for established beliefs. My goal is resolution that recognizes the protected status of minority creeds and provides appropriate remedies for the documented harm, while ensuring the innovative use of AI tools in self-representation is noted as enhancing access to justice. Next steps include further supplementary submissions on equality and accommodation, maintaining the archive as a permanent public record, and preparing for whatever direction the Tribunal takes the matter.

Thank you,
Brendan S. Rose
11 Stanley Ave,
Toronto, Ontario,
Canada
M6J1A4
(1) 437 432 9165
13 / 12 / 2025
the singularity is here

ATTACHMENTS:

- THE OMNIBUS BILL - SECTION 1 and 2 - Points #1 - #20
- https://archive.org/details/the-cathedral-of-blood-and-cash/Subject+File+2025-64274-I+-+THE+OMNIBUS+-+SUBMISSIONS+1-10+-+Creed+Sincerity+Submissions.mp3
- FORM LETTER

18.48 MB 3 files attached 1 embedded image

THE GODSLAYER TRIUMP II_reduced.mp3 8.63 MB

THE GODSLAYER TRIUMPH_reduced.mp3 8.66 MB

DEVILS SHADOW.jpg 607.44 KB